SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S070250

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (MICHAEL RAYMOND)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Anthony J. Dain's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by April 8, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 8, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S105403

PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH SAM)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Samreth Sam Pan and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 8, 2011.

S113421

PEOPLE v. HARDY (WARREN JUSTIN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 8, 2011.

S118045

PEOPLE v. ADAMS (MARCUS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Ronald F. Turner's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 8, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 8, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S118775

PEOPLE v. WILSON (JAVANCE MICKEY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 15, 2011.

S130659

PEOPLE v. ARMSTRONG (CRAIGEN LEWIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 8, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S178166

MARLOW (JAMES G.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Patricia A. Young's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 20, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 15, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S183703 G0

G040798 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PARKS (ALLAN) v. MBNA AMERICAN BANK N.A.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to April 4, 2011.

S189665

B213967 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

ENVIRONMENTALISM THROUGH INSPIRATION AND NON-VIOLENT ACTION v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES (PLAYA CAPITAL COMPANY LLC.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file

the reply to petition for review is extended to February 17, 2011.

S189868 B229629 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 FRASIER (TRAVYON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to the petition for review is extended to February 15, 2011.

SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA AMENDED

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on Tuesday, February 8, 2011, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S172023 Nikki Pooshs, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

Philip Morris USA, INC. et al., Defendants and Respondents.

TO BE CALLED AND CONTINUED TO A FUTURE ORAL ARGUMENT SESSION.

S178799 Maria Cabral, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Ralphs Grocery Company, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Jeffrey Isaac Ehrlich argued for Respondent.

Lillie Hsu argued for Appellant.

Mr. Ehrlich replied.

Cause submitted.

S178320 In re Baycol Cases I and II.

Cause called. Shaun P. Martin argued for Appellant.

Catherine Valerio Barrad argued for Respondent.

Mr. Martin replied. Cause submitted.

S175615 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Arturo Jesus Hernandez, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Nanette Winaker, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Gail Chesney, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.

Ms. Winaker replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the court and officers present as first shown.

S110541 The People, Respondent,

v.

David Leslie Murtishaw, Appellant.

Cause called. Gail R. Weinheimer, Office of the State Public Defender Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.

Catherine Chatman, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Weinheimer replied.

Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION MARCH 8 and 9, 2011

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on March 8 and 9, 2011.

<u>TUESDAY, MARCH 8, 2011 — 9:00 A.M.</u>

(1)	S172023	Pooshs v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al. (Suzukawa, J., assigned justice pro tempore)
(2)	S182407	Episcopal Church Cases (Willhite, J., assigned justice pro tempore)
(3)	S173490	People v. Zambia (Jomo)
		<u>1:30 P.M.</u>
(4)	S175351	People v. Anderson (Paul D.) (Woods, J., assigned justice pro tempore)
(5)	S072316	People v. Gonzales (Veronica Utilia) [Automatic Appeal] (Wiseman, J., assigned justice pro tempore)
		WEDNESDAY, MARCH 9, 2011 — 9:00 A.M.
(6) (7)	S177654 S065720	In re V.V. and S179579 In re J.H. (consolidated cases) People v. Vines (Sean Venyette) [Automatic Appeal]
		Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)

Note: In light of the current vacancy on the California Supreme Court, a different justice of the Court of Appeal has been or will be assigned to participate in each case scheduled for argument, pursuant to the court's established alphabetical rotation procedure. (A revised calendar will be issued as soon as possible after the additional justices pro tempore are assigned.)